A Model Based Examination of AIDS: Its Causes and Probable Course¹

by

James R. Thompson

Technical Report 86-25

¹This work was supported in part by the United States Army Research Office (Durham) under DAAG 29-85-K-0212 at Rice University.

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington
1. REPORT DATE 1986		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-1986	red 6 to 00-00-1986
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
A Model Based Examination of AIDS: Its Causes and Probable Cours			bable Courses	5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Computational and Applied Mathematics Department ,Rice University,6100 Main Street MS 134,Houston,TX,77005-1892 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	- ABSTRACT	OF PAGES 16	RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

A MODEL BASED EXAMINATION OF AIDS: ITS CAUSES AND PROBABLE COURSE*

James R. Thompson, Rice University and M.D. Anderson Hospital

A customary approach to the control of contagious diseases in contemporary America is via medical intervention, either by preventive vaccination or by the use of antibiotics. Historically, sociological control of epidemics has been the more customary method. This has been due, in part, to the fact that vaccines were unknown before the Nineteenth Century and antibiotics before the Twentieth Century.

In the case of some ancient peoples, a large portion of the system of laws dealt with the means of sociological control of epidemics. For example, it should be noted that the 13th, 14th and half of the 15th chapter of Leviticus (131 verses) are dedicated for the sociological control of leprosy. We might contrast this with the fact that the often mentioned dietary (kosher) laws receive only one chapter, the 11th, with a total of 47 verses.

The notion that epidemics can always be controlled by a shot or a pill rather than by the generally more painful sociological methods caused much human suffering even before AIDS. For example, First World medicine has largely displaced isolation as a control for leprosy in the Third World. Because the methods have been less effective in practice than hoped, we have the spectacle in some countries of three generations of a family sharing the same roof and the disease of leprosy. Only in the

*This work was supported in part by the Army Research Office (Durham) under DAAG-29-85-K-0212 at Rice University.

1980's have we (apparently) reached the level of medical control necessary to protect individuals against the effects of leprosy. But, in some sense, we have acted for some decades as though we were in possession of an anti-leprosy technology which we did not, in actuality, have.

In the case of AIDS, we see an even more difficult (of medical control) disease than leprosy. At present, the amount of federal funds expended on AIDS is over 20% of the total federal funding for research on all oncological diseases (of which AIDS is considered to be one). My own discussion with colleagues involved in the investigation indicates that a vaccine or a cure is extremely unlikely in the near future. Accordingly, we are confronted with a disease with a 100% fatality record and a per patient medical cost (using the present heroic intervention) in the \$100,000/case range. We must ask the question of whether the present main thrust of attack can be deemed optimal or even intelligent.

Let us consider an argument, developed in 1983 [1], when the disease was first beginning to produce deaths in the several hundreds First of all, we can determine the probability that a random infective will transmit the disease to a susceptible during a time interval $[t,t+\Delta t]$.

(1) Prob [transmission in $(t,t+\Delta)$] = k $\Delta t \propto X/(X+Y)$

where

k = number of contacts per unit time

∝ = probability of contact causing AIDS

X = number of susceptibles

Y = number of infectives.

To get the expected total increase in the infective population during [t,t+ Δ t], we multiply the above by Y, the number of infectives.

(2) $\Delta E(Y) = YPr(transmission in [t,t+\Delta t])$.

For large populations, we can assume, under fairly general conditions, that the expected total change in Y is a very nearly equal to a deterministic Y, i.e.,

(3) $\Delta E(Y) \approx \Delta Y$.

Letting At go to zero, this yields, immediately

 $(4) dY/dt = k \propto XY/(X+Y)$

 $dX/dt = -k \propto XY/(X+Y)$

Now, we must allow for immigration into the susceptible population (λ), and emigration (μ) from both the susceptible and infective populations and for marginal increase in the emigration from the infective population due to AIDS (δ), from sickness and death. Thus we have the improved differential equation model

(5) dY/dt = k∝XY/(X+Y) -(δ+μ)Y
dX/dt = -k∝XY/(X+Y) +λ-μX
where δ=AIDS death rate,
λ=immigration rate,
μ=emigration rate.

For early stages of the disease, $X/(X+Y)\approx 1$. Accordingly, we may write the approximation:

(6) dY/dt ≈[kα-μ-δ]Y.

This gives us the solution:

(7) $Y = Y(0) \exp\{[k \propto -\mu - \delta]t\}$.

Now, we shall use some rough guesses for some of the parameters in the equations above. We shall assume that, absent AIDS, the total target population is 3,000,000. We shall assume that an individual stays in this population an average of 15 years (yielding μ =1/(15×12)=.00556). We will use as the average time an infective remains sexually active 10 months (yielding δ =.1). To maintain the population of 3,000,000 (absent AIDS), then, we require

(8) $dX/dt = \lambda - \mu X = 0$

or λ =16,666. Now, if we combine these figures with early death data from AIDS, we can use the approximation for Y to obtain an estimate for k \propto 263. Below, we show a table of predicted and observed [2] AIDS figures using the estimated parameters.

Table 1. AIDS CASES

Date	Actual	Predicted
May 82	255	189
Aug 82	475	339
Nov 82	750	580
Feb. 83	1,150	967
May 83	1,675	1,587

Now, using the somewhat smaller kx value of .25 and an intial infective population of 2,000, we come up with the following projections making the assumption that things continue with the parameter values above.

Table 2. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH ka = .25

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	6,434	.004
2	42,210	.021
3	226,261	.107
4	903,429	.395
5	2,003,633	.738
10	3,741,841	.578
15	4,650,124	.578
20	5,562,438	.578

The fraction infective column has been given, since, in the absence of state intervention or medical breakthrough, it is this variable which provides the (sociological) feedback for the control of the disease. Any visibility of a loathsome and fatal disease in the proportion range of one percent of the target population will almost certainly cause members of that population to consider modifying their membership in it. In the days of plague in Western Europe, one could attempt to leave centers of congested population. It would appear likely that AIDS will cause a diminution of λ and k and an increase of μ . We note that the period for doubling the number of AIDS cases in the United States in 1982 was essentially 5 months in 1982, but has increased to nearly a year at present. [3].

Let us consider, for example, the effect of diminishing k. We note that in the early stages of the disease, an equilibrium value of $k \approx 1056$ is obtained. At this value, with all other

parameters held constant, the total body count after 20 years is 47,848 with a fraction of infectives quickly reaching .000668. Now, let us suppose that fear reduces k to 20% of its present value, by the use of condoms and some restraint in activity. Then, the table below shows that the disease quickly retreats into epidemiological insignificance.

Table 3. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH kx = .05

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE	
1	1,751	.00034	
2	2,650	.00018	
3	3,112	.00009	
4	3,349	.00005	
5	3,471	.00002	
10	3,594	.000001	

But, let us suppose that a promiscuous fraction, p, retains a $k \propto value \ L$ times that of the less promiscuous population. Our model becomes:

(9)
$$dY_1/dt = k \propto X_1(Y_1+LY_2)/(X_1+Y_1+L\{Y_2+X_2\})-(\delta+\mu) Y_1$$

 $dY_2/dt = k \propto LX_2(Y_1+LY_2)/(X_1+Y_1+L\{Y_2+X_2\})-(\delta+\mu) Y_2$
 $dX_1/dt = -k \propto X_1(Y_1+LY_2)/(X_1+Y_1+L\{Y_2+X_2\})+(1-\mu)\lambda-\mu X_1$
 $dX_2/dt = -k \propto LX_2(Y_1+LY_2)/(X_1+Y_1+L\{Y_2+X_2\})+\mu\lambda-\mu X_2$

Below, we consider the case where $k \propto \pm .05$, $t = 5 \ell$ and $\rho = .1$.

Table 4. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH p = .10

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	2,100	.0005
2	4,102	.0006
3	6,367	.0007
4	9,054	.0008
5	12,274	.0010
10	40,669	.0020
15	105,076	.0059
20	228,065	.0091

We notice how the presence of even a small promiscuous population can stop the demise of the epidemic. But, if this proportion becomes sufficiently small, then the disease is removed from an epidemic to an endemic situation, as we see below with p=.05 and all other parameters the same as above.

Table 5. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH p = .05

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	1,917	.00043
2	3,272	.00033
3	4,344	.00027
4	5,228	.00022
5	5,971	.00019
10	8,263	.00008
15	9,247	.00003
20	9,672	.00002

The dramatic effect of a small promiscuous population may be considered in the case where 90% of the population has a $k \otimes 0$ of .02 and 10% has a $k \times 0$ of .32. This gives a population with an overall $k \times 0$ of .05. If this low value is maintained across the population, then we have seen that the disease quickly dies out. But consider the situation when the mix is given as above.

Table 6. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH p = .1, k≪=.02, L=16

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	2,184	.0007
2	6,536	.0020
3	20,583	.0067
4	64,157	.0197
5	170,030	.0421
10	855,839	.0229
15	1,056,571	.0122
20	1,269,362	.0182

One prediction about AIDS is that there is a "Typhoid Mary" phenomenon. That means that the actual transmission rate is much higher than had been supposed, but only a fraction of the infected develop the disease quickly. Another fraction become carriers of the disease without themselves actually developing the physical manifestations of the disease, except possibly after a long interval of time. To see the effects of such a phenomenon, let us suppose $k \propto \pm .05$, but 50% of those who contract the disease have a life expectancy of 100 months instead of only 10.

Table 7. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH $k \propto = .05$ AND HALF OF THE INFECTIVES WITH $\delta = .01$

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	1,064	.00066
2	1,419	.00075
3	2,801	.00089
4	3,815	.00110
5	5,023	.00130
10	16,032	.00330
15	44,340	.00860
20	115,979	.02210

Such a disastrous scenario is, naturally, made much worse as we increase the fraction of those with the long sexually active life expectancy. For example, if this proportion is 90%, we have

Table 8. PROJECTIONS OF AIDS WITH 90% HAVING
LIFE EXPECTANCY OF 100 MONTHS

YEAR	CUM. DEATHS	FRACTION INFECTIVE
1	457	.0094
2	1,020	.0013
3	1,808	.0020
4	2,943	.0028
5	4,587	.0041
10	32,911	.0260
15	194,154	.1441
20	776,146	.4754

If the Typhoid Mary phenomenon is an actuality, then the effect of AIDS is likely to be catastrophic indeed. (Note that no presence of a promiscous subpopulation is necessary to cause this catastrophic scenario.) However, this would imply that AIDS was a new disease, contrary to the historical evidence. It seems most likely that AIDS has always been endemic in a species of Central African monkey and that its presence in the human population is of long standing. Indeed, the present entry into the United States appears to be via Haiti, which has not had significant African immigration for centuries. Since the disease has been noted in the United States, studies show the disease present in Tanzania, Uganda, Zaire, etc. These studies contain even more noise than those in the United States, which are very noisy indeed. (Also, it is interesting to note that claims have been made that the disease is frequently now of epidemic proportions in the heterosexual population. How much of this latter phenomenon is real, and how much of the real heterosexual cases is due to nonsexual modes, e.g., zealous local medicos dispensing shots with unsterilized needles, is a matter of conjecture. We need quickly to find out what is going on in with AIDS in Africa. If there is truly a high heterosexual transmission rate there, we must find out why that does not to be the case in the United States.) How likely, we must ask, is it that genetic drift in the AIDS virus would have proceeded in such widely separated populations to produce epidemics in both the United States and Central Africa at the same time? Anecdotally, a pathologist at the Texas Medical Center has informed me that some of his colleagues, nearing retirement, now recall young male patients with AIDS symptoms as long as 30 years ago, but in such occasional numbers that there was no

attempt to characterize such rare occurrences in any systematic fashion.

If AIDS is not a new disease (and evidence that it is might well be investigated as an act of war by a hostile power with genetic engineering capabilities), then we ought to ask what has changed in order that an endemic disease has now reached epidemic proportions. After all, we should recall that Belgian colonial troops went back and forth from Zaire to Belgium for nearly a hundred years. If there was ever a significant outbreak of AIDS in Belgium, no one has discovered the fact. It seems most likely that the reason is that the large contact rates (k) which characterize the frenetically homosexual communities which exist in some American cities have never occurred before in the history of the world.

Some Suggestions From The Model

1. The most important elements in AIDS which will cause its essential elimination are:

low value of ox awfulness of the disease.

As the diseased fraction of the target population increases,

k will decrease

λ will decrease

μ will increase

3. The slogan, frequently used by public officials, to the effect that "AIDS is not just a gay problem" is misleading and harmful. If the public health authorities in the United States had done nothing at all, the total number of deaths from AIDS would be less than will be the case as a result of the policies they have undertaken. The general approach of the civil authorities has been one of "support" of the gay community. The basic issue of homosexual promiscuity as the driving force behind AIDS has been carefully skirted around. Repeatedly, and until relatively recently, gays have been given information which they might interpret as being urged to hang on until hundreds of millions of dollars of government monies produce a vaccine. Suppose, at the beginning of the epidemic, four years ago, the public health authorities had told the gay community, "Look, there is an epidemic threatening you; it is transmitted predominantly by anal intercourse. There is little chance that a cure or a vaccine will be available for years. The presence of even a small subgroup within the gay community manifesting highly promiscous homosexual activity is particularly threatening to all of you." Then, the suppression effects in point 2 above would have proceeded far more quickly than has been the case. saying of tens of thousands of lives would have been possible. The posture taken by the public health establishment in this country has been disturbingly similar to that of the quack who comforts the cancer patient with nostrums in the present and miracles in the future, rather than encouraging the painful, but more promising techniques of the clinical oncologist. In a paper written in 1983 [1]. I predicted that the total fatalities from AIDS in the United States would only be in the 60,000 range. This prediction was based on the assumption that public health authorities would make essentially no positive contribution to eradication of the disease. However, I had not counted on their very substantial negative contribution in the form of comforting words which retarded the natural fear induced suppression of the epidemic. My guess now is that the total death count will be much higher than 60,000, though far fewer than the "millions" predicted by some.

- 4. Intervention by the state in the form of a publicity campaign giving a graphically realistic assessment of the prognosis of an AIDS victim would have been useful some months ago. However, it appears that the gay communty has by now pretty generally realized that "the cavalry is not going to arrive to save them." Because of the very significant effect by a small subgroup having large numbers of potentially contagious contacts, the closing of meeting places (bath houses, etc.) where high contact rate activity takes place would still be useful. If such places had been closed early on, then the homosexual communities in the United States could possibly have lasted indefinitely. It is not homosexuality which renders AIDS possible. It is the presence of frenetically sexually active individuals in the homosexual community which causes the problem. This fact is not intuitively obvious, but is a clear consequence of the model. It should be pointed out to the gay community that even if most of the members of the community cut down on their activity and/or practice "safe sex," even the less promiscuous are seriously endangered by a small highly promiscous subgroup.
- 5. Vectoring into the heterosexual population will not be a serious problem because of the much lower level of promiscuity among straights and due to the fact that the mechanism of AIDS transmission is probably much more easily effected from active

partner to passive partner—more viruses being transmitted in this manner—in the homosexual community an individual will play both roles; and due to the apparently easier transmission in anal versus vaginal intercourse. However, female prostitutes and their clients may be seriously at risk. There may be enough concern on the part of the heterosexual community to engender a neo-Victorianism. Hostility of the heterosexual community to homosexuals will unfortunately be a consequence of the failure of public health officials to tell the straight community that leakage of AIDS into their membership will be minimal.

6. AIDS will eliminate the gay subculture, not through fatality but through fear of fatality. The ultimate "cure" of the disease will be sociological, rather than medical. The presence of visible and organized homosexual societies in the United States will cease.

REFERENCES

- 1.Thompson, J.R.(1984) "Deterministic versus Stochastic Modeling in Neoplasia," in *Proceedings of the 1984 Summer Computer Simulation Conference*, pp. 822-825.
- 2. Update: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS), United States Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Center for Disease Control, v. 32, 1983, pp. 389-391.
- 3. "Update: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS),"

 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report," Centers for Disease

 Control, January 17, 1986, v. 35, no. 2, pp. 17-20.